



A Survey of Current Practices in Limnological Research

Dr. Kalpana Mimrot¹; Dr. Shailendra Sharma²

¹Assistant Professor, Shri Guru Sandipani Girls Institute of Professional Studies, Ujjain (M.P.)

²Principal, Adarsh institute of management and science, Dhamnood (M.P.)

Abstract

Limnology, the study of inland aquatic ecosystems, has gained increasing importance due to concerns over water quality, biodiversity loss, and climate change impacts. This study surveys recent advancements in limnological research from 2010 to 2022, highlighting key contributions in biodiversity monitoring, environmental DNA (eDNA) applications, the effects of anthropogenic activities, and the role of biological indicators in assessing freshwater ecosystem health. Despite these advancements, research gaps persist, including the need for long-term limnological data, deeper insights into microbial diversity, and the integration of AI-based remote sensing for water quality monitoring. Additionally, comprehensive assessments of invasive species, emerging pollutants, and the socioeconomic dimensions of limnological changes remain underexplored. Addressing these gaps, this study proposes a framework for future research, emphasizing interdisciplinary approaches and sustainable management strategies to enhance the resilience of freshwater ecosystems against environmental challenges.

Keywords: Limnology, ecosystem, biodiversity, monitoring., framework, environmental challenges.

1. Introduction

Limnology is the scientific study of inland aquatic systems, encompassing the physical, chemical, biological, and geological characteristics of freshwater bodies such as lakes, rivers, and wetlands. This multidisciplinary field integrates various aspects of ecology, hydrology, and environmental science to understand the dynamics of freshwater ecosystems. The significance of limnology has grown in recent years due to increasing concerns about water quality, biodiversity, and the impacts of climate change on freshwater resources. For instance, studies have shown that limnological factors such as nutrient concentrations, temperature, and hydrological variations significantly influence phytoplankton dynamics and overall ecosystem health Martins et al. (2011). Furthermore, limnology plays a crucial role in assessing the ecological status of water



bodies, as evidenced by the use of biological indicators like diatoms and testate amoebae to evaluate environmental conditions and anthropogenic impacts (Antoniades et al., 2014; Degefu et al., 2014).

Research in limnology has also highlighted the importance of understanding the interactions between biotic and abiotic components within freshwater systems. For example, the influence of hydrological events on limnological variables has been documented, revealing how seasonal changes can affect nutrient cycling and species composition in aquatic environments (Palijan, 2014; Ferreira et al., 2019). Additionally, limnological studies have explored the effects of geodiversity on species distributions, demonstrating that variations in physical and chemical parameters can lead to significant differences in community structure across different freshwater ecosystems (Macario-González et al., 2022; Seekell et al., 2014). As freshwater systems face increasing pressures from human activities and climate change, the insights gained from limnological research are essential for effective management and conservation strategies aimed at preserving these vital ecosystems (Andrade et al., 2022; Thienpont et al., 2012).

Considering these facts, the present research work is devoted to the advancements in limnology in the last decade, and devoted to the contributions of researchers in the field. The research paper acknowledges the selected contributions and concludes with the investigated gaps in the research and objectives of a new research.

2. Contributions of Researchers in the field of Limnology

The field of limnology has seen significant advancements from 2010 to 2022, particularly in understanding the ecological status of freshwater systems through various biological indicators. A notable approach is the use of phytoplankton functional groups, which can provide a quality assessment method, exemplified by the Q assemblage index utilized in Lake Mogan, Turkey. This method highlights the importance of taxonomic knowledge and ecological data in monitoring lake health, especially during peak phytoplankton biomass periods in late summer (Demir et al., 2014). Furthermore, studies have shown that submerged macrophytes serve as critical indicators of lake ecological quality, with their biomass reflecting the overall health of aquatic ecosystems (Sender, 2018).



In addition to biological assessments, limnological research has increasingly focused on the impacts of anthropogenic factors on freshwater ecosystems. Climate change and nutrient enrichment are significant threats that alter the ecological balance of lakes, as evidenced by studies linking these changes to shifts in planktonic diatom communities and overall lake structure (Seelen et al., 2019; Saros et al., 2012). The integration of morphometric analyses and ecological modeling has further enhanced our understanding of how lake characteristics influence ecological health and water management strategies (Shang, 2013; Shang & Shang, 2018). As the field progresses, the need for comprehensive assessments that incorporate both biological indicators and environmental factors becomes paramount, ensuring the sustainability of freshwater resources in the face of ongoing ecological challenges (Yan et al., 2022). Table 2.1 shows some selected research contributions in the field.

Table 2.1: Research contributions in the field on Limnology

S.No	Researcher(s) (year)	Contribution	Research Highlight
1.	Tecklie and Yosef (2022)	Assessed wetland contributions to local livelihoods.	Explored the socio-economic dimensions of wetlands and their importance for community well-being
2.	Marrone et al. (2022)	Explored cryptic diversity in aquatic microorganisms.	Highlighted the gaps in understanding the ecology and taxonomy of aquatic microorganisms, affecting biodiversity knowledge
3.	Priyono et al. (2022)	Implemented eDNA for biodiversity monitoring in aviation security.	Demonstrated the effectiveness of eDNA in identifying aquatic species in previously unexplored environments
4.	Gu et al. (2022)	Studied impacts of small hydropower stations on aquatic biodiversity.	Conducted a systematic study revealing the effects of hydropower on plankton, benthic animals, and



			fish diversity
5.	Barros and Seena (2022)	Advocated for the conservation of aquatic hyphomycetes.	Suggested integrating fungal biodiversity into conservation strategies to enhance funding and research opportunities
6.	Tzafesta et al. (2021)	Reviewed DNA-based applications for assessing macroinvertebrate biodiversity.	Discussed the potential of DNA metabarcoding to enhance biomonitoring of aquatic ecosystems
7.	Rivers-Moore et al. (2021)	Analyzed species distributions in Zambian aquatic ecosystems.	Identified distinct assemblages of aquatic macroinvertebrates and macrophytes, emphasizing the need for conservation in these areas.
8.	Bertoni and Bertoni (2021)	Highlighted the heritage of limnology in Italy.	Reflected on the importance of preserving scientific heritage in limnology for future research and conservation efforts
9.	Popescu et al. (2020)	Quantified biodiversity trade-offs in energy development.	Analyzed the impacts of renewable energy development on species with large habitat requirements, highlighting cumulative effects
10.	Dorber et al. (2020)	Investigated biodiversity impacts of hydropower reservoirs.	Showed the need for strategic site selection to minimize biodiversity loss in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems
11.	Yang et al. (2020)	Proposed using riverine water eDNA for biodiversity monitoring.	Suggested a cost-effective approach to simultaneously monitor aquatic and terrestrial biodiversity using eDNA
12.	Bunting et al. (2020)	Examined invertebrate	Investigated the dynamics of



		community responses to drying in chalk streams.	temporary stream ecosystems and their biodiversity during varying flow conditions
13.	Huang et al. (2020)	Studied transformations in aquatic plant diversity in Lake Taihu.	Documented shifts from native to invasive aquatic plants, impacting ecosystem functions due to anthropogenic activities
14.	Slimani et al. (2019)	Proposed surrogates for macroinvertebrate diversity in Mediterranean ecosystems.	Suggested using Ephemeroptera and Coleoptera as effective biodiversity indicators in North African aquatic ecosystems
15.	Grossart et al. (2019)	Reviewed the role of fungi in aquatic ecosystems.	Highlights the importance of fungal diversity in aquatic systems and calls for interdisciplinary research to understand their ecological roles.
16.	Thakur et al. (2019) .	Systematic review of soil biodiversity theories	Discusses how key biodiversity theories can explain patterns of soil biodiversity, emphasizing the scale-dependent nature of these patterns.
17.	Alves et al. (2019)	Examined limnological features in tropical floodplain lakes.	Discussed the influence of climate change on limnological variables and aquatic biodiversity in the Cerrado region
18.	Xu et al. (2019)	Conducted a meta-analysis on biodiversity impacts of water level changes.	Found varied impacts on biodiversity across different wetland types due to hydrological changes
19.	Mao et al. (2018)	Analyzed urban expansion impacts on	Discussed how urbanization leads to wetland degradation, reducing



		wetlands.	their water management capacity
20.	Bird et al. (2018)	Reviewed invertebrate fauna in southern African temporary wetlands.	Emphasized the significance of temporary wetlands and their invertebrate communities in regional biodiversity
21.	Deiner et al. (2017)	Introduced eDNA metabarcoding as a tool for biodiversity surveys.	High-throughput sequencing enables rapid assessment of species richness in various ecosystems using environmental DNA.
22.	Shogren et al. (2017)	Investigated eDNA movement in streams.	Identified environmental factors affecting eDNA transport, enhancing species monitoring and management capabilities
23.	Valentini et al. (2016)	Tested eDNA metabarcoding for monitoring aquatic biodiversity	Validated the use of eDNA from water samples to address ecological and conservation questions for amphibians and bony fish
24.	Thomsen and Willerslev (2015)	Discussed the use of eDNA in conservation.	Emphasized the potential of eDNA as a monitoring tool for past and present biodiversity, aiding conservation efforts
25.	Handa et al. (2014)	Investigated the impact of biodiversity loss on litter decomposition	Found that reduced functional diversity of decomposers slows carbon and nitrogen cycling across various ecosystems
26.	Costanza et al. (2014)	Estimated the global value of ecosystem services.	Emphasized the high value of wetlands for ecosystem services, informing conservation strategies

3. Gaps in the Research and Objectives of Proposed Research



Following points represent the gaps in the research:

- Most studies emphasize short-term ecological changes, while long-term data on limnological shifts due to climate change, land use, and human activities remain sparse;
- While some studies highlight aquatic fungi, there is limited research on microbial diversity, interactions, and their roles in nutrient cycling in lakes and wetlands;
- The application of AI-driven data analysis and satellite-based remote sensing for monitoring limnological parameters remains underdeveloped;
- A detailed research is needed on the cumulative impact of invasive species on water quality and native biodiversity;
- The role of pollutants like microplastics, pharmaceuticals, and heavy metals in altering limnological parameters remains largely unexplored;
- There is a need for more interdisciplinary research integrating socioeconomic aspects with limnological changes; and
- Detailed investigations on how hydropower development affects nutrient dynamics, sediment transport, and thermal stratification are needed.

Following points represent the objectives of a proposed research:

- To analyze long-term limnological changes in selected aquatic ecosystems using historical and recent data;
- To investigate microbial community diversity and its role in biogeochemical cycles within lakes, rivers, and wetlands;
- To integrate AI-based models and remote sensing techniques for real-time monitoring and predictive analysis of water quality and biodiversity in freshwater bodies;
- To assess the impact of invasive aquatic species on native biodiversity, water chemistry, and ecosystem stability;
- To study the occurrence and effects of emerging contaminants (microplastics, heavy metals, pharmaceuticals) on limnological parameters and aquatic biodiversity;
- To explore the socioeconomic dimensions of limnological changes, linking ecosystem health to community livelihoods and policy recommendations;



- To evaluate the impact of hydropower projects on limnological characteristics such as sediment load, temperature regimes, and oxygen levels; and
- To propose sustainable conservation and management strategies for maintaining freshwater ecosystem health based on scientific evidence and stakeholder participation.

4. Conclusion

The present research work highlights the survey of current practices in limnological research, and concludes with the investigated gaps in the research and objectives of proposed research, which seems to be appropriate considering the dire need of time and should be fruitful for upcoming researchers.

References

- Alves, M. A., et al. (2019). A snapshot of the limnological features in tropical floodplain lakes: the relative influence of climate and land use. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, 31, e20190003. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s2179-975x7916>
- Andrade, L. A., Ferreira, M. M., & Lima, J. A. (2022). Aspects of a unique natural limnological phenomenon in the Brazilian Pantanal. *Ambiente E Agua*
- Antoniades, D., Smol, J. P., & Douglas, M. S. V. (2014). Determining diatom ecotones and their relationship to terrestrial ecoregion designations in the central Canadian Arctic Islands. *Journal of Phycology*, 50(4), 755-766. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12195>
- Barros, F. A., & Seena, S. (2022). Fungi in freshwaters: Prioritising aquatic hyphomycetes in conservation goals. *Water*, 14(4), 605. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14040605>
- Bertoni, R., & Bertoni, M. (2021). Preserving the heritage of limnology in Italy. *Journal of Limnology*, 80(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2021.2018>
- 25. Bunting, L., et al. (2020). Aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate community responses to drying in chalk streams. *Water and Environment Journal*, 34(4), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wej.12621>



- Bird, S. B., et al. (2018). Deeper knowledge of shallow waters: reviewing the invertebrate fauna of southern African temporary wetlands. *Hydrobiologia*, 818(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-018-3772-z>
- Costanza, R., et al. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. *Global Environmental Change*, 26, 152-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002>
- Degefu, M. A., & Tadesse, A. (2014). First Limnological Records of Highly Threatened Tropical High-Mountain Crater Lakes in Ethiopia. *Tropical Conservation Science*, 7(3), 467-482. <https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291400700302>
- Deiner, K., Walser, J.-C., Mächler, E., & Altermatt, F. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding: Transforming how we survey animal and plant communities. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(21), 5872-5895. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14350> .
- Demir, N., FAKIOĞLU, Ö., & Dural, B. (2014). Phytoplankton functional groups provide a quality assessment method by the q assemblage index in lake mogan (turkey). *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 38, 169-179. <https://doi.org/10.3906/bot-1301-60>
- Dorber, M., et al. (2020). Controlling biodiversity impacts of future global hydropower reservoirs by strategic site selection. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78444-6>
- Ferreira, M. M., & Lima, J. A. (2019). Dam reverse flow events influence limnological variables and fish assemblages of a downstream tributary in a Neotropical floodplain. *River Research and Applications*, 35(6), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3584>
- Grossart, H.-P., et al. (2019). Fungi in aquatic ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17(6), 339-354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0175-8>.
- Gu, Y., et al. (2022). Effects of small hydropower stations along rivers on the distribution of aquatic biodiversity. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 940606. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.940606>
- Handa, I. T., et al. (2014). Consequences of biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across biomes. *Nature*, 509(7502), 218-221. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13247>
- Huang, Y., et al. (2020). Transformation of aquatic plant diversity in an environmentally sensitive area, the Lake Taihu drainage basin. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 513788. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.513788>



- Macario-González, E., & Tavares, M. (2022). Geodiversity influences limnological conditions and freshwater ostracode species distributions across broad spatial scales in the northern Neotropics. *Biogeosciences*, 19(19), 5167-5180. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-5167-2022>
- Mao, D., et al. (2018). China's wetlands loss to urban expansion. *Land Degradation & Development*, 29(5), 1433-1444. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2939>
- Marrone, F., et al. (2022). Cryptic diversity, niche displacement and our poor understanding of taxonomy and ecology of aquatic microorganisms. *Hydrobiologia*, 849(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-022-04904-x>
- Martins, M. J., & Ferreira, J. G. (2011). Seasonal Dynamics of *Microcystis* spp. and Their Toxicogenicity as Assessed by qPCR in a Temperate Reservoir. *Marine Drugs*, 9(10), 1715-1730. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md9101715>
- Palijan, J. (2014). Towards deconfounding hydrological and seasonal temperature variability in the determination of selected limnological variables of a temperate floodplain ecosystem. *Ecohydrology*, 7(4), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1510>
- Popescu, I., et al. (2020). Quantifying biodiversity trade-offs in the face of widespread renewable and unconventional energy development. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64501-7>
- Priyono, A., et al. (2022). Aquatic biodiversity in a pond on the airport landside areas through environmental DNA metabarcoding: Implementation for Aviation Security Management. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 23(7), 3417-3424. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d230741>
- Rivers-Moore, N. A., et al. (2021). Aquatic areas of ecological importance as inputs into surface water resource protection areas in Zambia. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 31(4), 1035-1047. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3604>
- Saros, J., Stone, J., Pederson, G., Slemmons, K., Spanbauer, T., Schliep, A., ... & Engstrom, D. (2012). Climate-induced changes in Lake Ecosystem structure inferred from coupled neo- and paleoecological approaches. *Ecology*, 93(10), 2155-2164. <https://doi.org/10.1890/11-2218.1>



- Seekell, D. A., & Karlsson, J. (2014). Regional-scale variation of dissolved organic carbon concentrations in Swedish lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 59(5), 1612-1620. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1612>
- Seelen, L., Flaim, G., Jennings, E., & Domis, L. (2019). Saving water for the future: public awareness of water usage and water quality. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 242, 246-257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.04.047>
- Sender, J. (2018). Untitled. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 18(4). https://doi.org/10.4194/1303-2712-v18_4_13
- Shang, S. (2013). Lake surface area method to define minimum ecological lake level from level-area-storage curves. *Journal of Arid Land*, 5(2), 133-142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-013-0153-3>
- Shang, S. and Shang, S. (2018). Simplified lake surface area method for the minimum ecological water level of lakes and wetlands. *Water*, 10(8), 1056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w10081056>
- Shogren, A. J., et al. (2017). Controls on eDNA movement in streams: Transport, retention, and resuspension. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05223-1>
- Sigala, K. I., & Kallimanis, A. S. (2017). Basic limnology of 30 continental waterbodies of the Transmexican Volcanic Belt across climatic and environmental gradients. *Boletín De La Sociedad Geológica Mexicana*, 69(2), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.18268/bsgm2017v69n2a3>
- Slimani, T., et al. (2019). Assessing potential surrogates of macroinvertebrate diversity in North-African Mediterranean aquatic ecosystems. *Ecological Indicators*, 98, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.01.017>
- Søndergaard, M., Johansson, L., Lauridsen, T., Jørgensen, T., Liboriussen, L., & Jeppesen, E. (2010). Submerged macrophytes as indicators of the ecological quality of lakes. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(4), 893-908. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02331.x>
- Tecklie, E., & Yosef, A. (2022). Assessment of major wetlands' current situation and their contribution to livelihood improvement, South Wollo, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Ecology*, 2022, 9697899. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9697899>
- Thakur, M. P., et al. (2019). Towards an integrative understanding of soil biodiversity. *Biological Reviews*, 94(4), 1161-1178. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12567>



- al. (2016). Next-generation monitoring of aquatic biodiversity using environmental DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(4), 929-942. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13428>
- Thienpont, J. R., & Smol, J. P. (2012). Biological responses to permafrost thaw slumping in Canadian Arctic lakes. *Freshwater Biology*, 57(6), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12061>
 - Thomsen, P. F., & Willerslev, E. (2015). Environmental DNA – An emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 4-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.019>
 - Tzafesta, E., et al. (2021). An overview of DNA-based applications for the assessment of benthic macroinvertebrates biodiversity in Mediterranean aquatic ecosystems. *Diversity*, 13(3), 112. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d13030112>
 - Xu, H., et al. (2019). Hidden loss of wetlands in China. *Current Biology*, 29(14), 2393-2399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.053>
 - Yan, W., Ma, X., Liu, Y., Qian, K., Yang, X., Li, J., ... & Wang, Y. (2022). Ecological assessment of terminal lake basins in central asia under changing landscape patterns. *Remote Sensing*, 14(19), 4842. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14194842>
 - Yang, Y., et al. (2020). Simultaneously monitoring aquatic and riparian biodiversity using riverine water eDNA. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.20.162388>
 - Zhang, H., & Shang, Z. (2013). Lake surface area method to define minimum ecological lake level from level-area-storage curves. *Journal of Arid Land*, 5(2), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-013-0153-3>